

Journal Ethical Code

«Scienze dell'Antichità» is a peer-reviewed scholarly journal that adheres to the ethical code developed by COPE: Best Practice Guidelines for Journal Editors. It is essential that all parties involved – authors, editors, editorial board members, and referees – are aware of and share the following ethical requirements.

Duties of Editors and Editorial Board Members

Publication Decisions

The Journal's editorial board is responsible for deciding whether or not to publish the submitted articles. The board members may consult with the referees in order to make such a decision.

Fairness

The Editor and the members of the Editorial Board evaluate submitted articles based on their content, without discrimination on the grounds of race, gender, sexual orientation, religion, ethnic origin, citizenship, or the authors' political beliefs.

Confidentiality

The Editor and the members of the Editorial Board commit to not disclosing information about submitted articles to anyone other than the author, referees, and publisher.

Conflict of Interest and Disclosure

The Editor and the members of the Editorial Board commit to not using the content of a submitted article in their own research without the author's written consent.

Duties of Referees

Contribution to Editorial Decision

Peer review is a procedure that supports and complements the decisions of the Editor and Editorial Board members regarding submitted articles, and allows authors to improve and enrich their contributions.

Respect for Deadlines

A referee who feels unqualified for the assigned task or knows they cannot complete the review within the required timeframe must promptly inform the Editor so that alternative referees can be contacted..

Confidentiality

Every manuscript submitted for review must be treated as confidential. Therefore, such manuscripts must not be discussed with others without the explicit permission of the Editor.

Objectivity

Peer review must be conducted objectively. Referees are required to provide clear and well-founded reasons for their evaluations.

Citation of Sources

Referees commit to accurately indicating the bibliographic details of key works that may have been overlooked by the author. Additionally, referees must inform the Editor of any similarities or overlaps between the manuscript under review and other works known to them.

Conflict of Interest and Disclosure

Confidential information or insights obtained during the peer review process must be treated as confidential and cannot be used for personal gain. Referees are required to decline reviewing manuscripts for which a conflict of interest exists due to prior collaborations or competition with the author and/or their affiliated institution.

Duties of Authors**Originality and Plagiarism**

Authors are required to declare that their work is original in all its parts and that all sources used have been properly cited.

Multiple, Redundant, and/or Competing Publications

Authors should not publish articles that describe the same research in more than one venue. Submitting the same manuscript simultaneously to more than one publication (print or electronic) constitutes unethical and unacceptable behavior.

Citation of Sources

The author must always provide the correct citation of the sources and contributions mentioned in the article.

Authorship

Authorship should be limited to those who have made a significant contribution to the conception, organization, and execution of the work; all those who have made a significant contribution will be listed as co-authors. Any other individuals who participated in substantial phases of the research must be explicitly acknowledged.

Errors in Published Articles

When an author identifies a significant error or inaccuracy in their published article, they are obliged to promptly inform the Editor and provide all necessary information to facilitate the required corrections.