

JOURNAL'S ETHICAL CODE

«Pelargòs» is a double blind peer reviewed scientific journal that complies with the ethical code developed by COPE: Best Practice Guidelines for Journal Editors. It is necessary that all parties involved - authors, directors, editorial members and referees - know and agree with the following ethical requirements.

DUTIES OF THE JOURNAL'S DIRECTION AND EDITORIAL TEAM

Publication decisions

The Director and the Editorial Team of the journal are responsible for the decision to publish or not the proposed articles. The Director may refer to the Scientific Committee to make this decision and is bound by the requirements of current laws regarding defamation, copyright infringement and plagiarism; he may also consult the Editorial Team or some referees in making such decisions.

Fairness

The Director and the members of the Editorial Committee evaluate the articles proposed for publication based on their content without discrimination of race, gender, sexual orientation, religion, ethnic origin, citizenship, political orientation of the authors.

Confidentiality

The Director and the members of the Editorial Team undertake not to reveal information on the proposed articles to other people than the author, the referees and the publisher.

Conflict of interest and disclosure

The Director and the members of the Editorial Team undertake not to use the contents of an article proposed for publication in their own research without the express written consent of the author.

DUTIES OF REFEREES

Contribution to the editorial decision

Double blind peer review is a procedure that supports and integrates the decisions of the Director and members of the editorial team on the proposed articles and allows authors to improve and enrich their articles.

Respect for time

The referee who does not feel adequate to the proposed task or who knows that he cannot carry out the reading within the required time is required to promptly communicate this to the director so that it is possible to contact different referees.

Confidentiality

Any text proposed for reading must be considered confidential. Therefore, such texts must not be discussed with other people without explicit authorization from the Director.

Objectivity

The double blind peer review must be conducted objectively, any personal judgment on the authors is to be considered inappropriate. The referees are required to adequately justify their opinions with a specific evaluation form prepared by the Journal's direction.

Indication of texts

The referees undertake to precisely indicate the bibliographical details of fundamental works possibly overlooked by the author. The referee must also report any similarities or overlaps of the text received for reading with other works known to him.

Conflict of interest and disclosure

Confidential information or guidance obtained during the peer review process must be considered reserved and may not be used for personal purposes. The referees are required not to accept to read articles for which there is a conflict of interest due to previous collaborative or competitive relationships with the author and/or his institution.

DUTIES OF THE AUTHORS

Data access and retention

If the Director deems it appropriate, the authors of the articles should also make available the sources or data on which the research is based, so that they can be preserved for a reasonable period of time after publication and possibly made accessible.

Originality and plagiarism

The authors are required to declare that they have composed an original work in all its parts and that they have cited all the texts used, by completing a specific form prepared by the Journal's editorial team.

Multiple, repetitive and/or concurrent publications

The author should not publish articles describing the same research in more than one place. Proposing the same text to more than one publication (paper or electronic) at the same time constitutes ethically incorrect and unacceptable behaviour.

Indication of sources

The author must always provide the correct indication of the sources, images and contributions mentioned in the article.

Authorship of the work

The authorship of the work must be attributed to those who made a significant contribution to the conception, organisation, implementation and re-elaboration of the research underlying the article; all those who have made a significant contribution will be indicated as co-authors, declaring that their approval of the final version of the article has been obtained. Any other people who participated in substantial phases of the research must be explicitly acknowledged.

Errors in published articles

When an author identifies a significant error or inaccuracy in one of his articles, he is required to promptly inform the Director and the Editorial Team and collaborate with them to provide all the necessary information and report the necessary corrections.

AUTHORS' RIGHTS

The authors retain the rights to their work and assign the right of first publication of the work to the Journal; they also grant the perpetual right to distribute it to the public via the journal's website.

The authors retain the right of open access dissemination, via their own website or via an institutional or disciplinary archive, 2 years after the publication of the journal.

The authors retain the right to create derivative works and to reproduce, distribute, perform or show their work during teaching, conferences or presentations, or other works of a scientific nature and professional activities, specifying the place of publication.

The authors renounce, in agreement with the Publisher, any possible form of remuneration granted by current national legislation to authors and publishers for non-profit reprography rights and library lending.